arXiv:1609.09393v1 [physics.acc-ph] 24 Sep 2016

Parametric Landau damping of space charge modes

Alexandru Macridin, Alexey Burov, Eric Stern, James Amundson, Panagiotis Spentzouris
Fermilab, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA

Landau damping is the mechanism of plasma and beam stabilization; it is caused by energy transfer from collective modes to incoherent motion of resonant particles. Normally this resonance requires the wave frequency in the particle frame to match the resonant particles frequency. Using the *Synergia* modeling package to study transverse coherent modes of bunched beams with space charge, we have identified a new kind of damping mechanism, *parametric Landau damping*, driven by the modulation of the wave-particle interaction.

Introduction Mode stabilization through the Landau damping (LD) mechanism [1] is an important research topic in plasma and accelerator physics. The damping is caused by the energy exchange between a coherent mode and the particles in resonance with the mode. In the typical picture, LD requires the coherent resonance line to lie within the incoherent spectrum. Our numerical investigation of the transverse space charge (SC) modes in bunched beams reveals a novel damping mechanism at the coupling resonance (CR), *i.e.*, when the horizontal and the vertical tunes are close. In contrast with the usual LD mechanism, the tunes of the LD-responsible particles, *i.e.*, the particles which absorb the mode energy, have a wide spread. This happens due to the oscillatory behavior of the amplitudes of the CR trapped particles, which modulates the mode-particle coupling. Although this paper describes the specific case of SC modes in bunched beams, parametric LD as a consequence of wave-particle coupling modulation is a general mechanism.

The transverse SC modes in bunched beams away from the CR were found analytically in Refs [2, 3]. Their intrinsic LD in the strong SC regime was suggested in Ref [2]. Predicted damping rates were confirmed by numerical simulations [4–6].

The CR can significantly influence beam stability [7]. In our study there is no linear coupling term between the transverse planes since the SC force introduces only higher-order coupling terms. The main resonance is the fourth-order Montague resonance [8] resulting from the term proportional to x^2y^2 in the SC potential. To prevent emittance exchange we take equal transverse emittances in our simulations. However, the CR is still important for the single particle dynamics. The particles trapped in the resonance islands are characterized by an oscillatory energy exchange between the transverse planes. Their transverse amplitudes and actions are oscillating with typical trapping frequencies. The mode-particle coupling is therefore modulated by the trapping frequency since it is dependent on the particle's amplitudes. Because the trapping frequencies are particle dependent, the tunes of the LD-responsible particles are particle dependent also.

We employ the Synergia accelerator modeling package [9, 10] to simulate the propagation of a single Gaussian beam through a linear lattice consisting of drift, quadrupole, and rf cavity elements. A large number of macroparticles is considered. Space charge is calculated with a 3D Poisson solver. The modes are extracted from the transverse displacement density using the dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) technique [11–14]. DMD is a data-driven algorithm used for modal analysis and model reduction in both linear and nonlinear systems. An important advantage of this method is the direct calculation of mode dynamics, including shape, frequency, and growth/damping rates.

In this paper we compare the properties of the first SC mode both on and off the coupling resonance. We find that the LD is larger in the former case, while the tune and the mode shape are nearly the same. By investigating the properties of the particles exchanging energy with the mode, we conclude that the off-resonance case well fits the conventional LD scenario characterized by LD-responsible particles with an incoherent tune spectrum at the coherent tune. At CR the damping enhancement is caused by the presence of the modulated coupling between the mode and the trapped particles.

Formalism The mode-particle interaction equation in the off-resonance case can be written as [15]

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 \left(Q_{0x} - \delta Q\right)^2 x = -2\omega_0^2 Q_{0x} \delta Q \bar{x} \qquad (1)$$

The tune shift $\delta Q(z, J_x, J_y)$ is proportional to the line charge density and is dependent on the particle transverse actions. To a good approximation, J_x and J_y are constants of motion. The resonant energy exchange between the mode and the particle occurs when the particle tune matches the frequency of $\bar{x}(t)$.

The situation is different at the CR. In the proximity of the resonance, the sum $J_s = J_x + J_y$ is a constant of motion, while the difference $J_d = J_x - J_y$ oscillates around the stable point. The oscillations of J_x and J_y at CR should be considered explicitly in the mode-particle equation. Using the J_d expansion of the mode-particle coupling term, $2\omega_0^2 Q_{0x}\delta Q = A + BJ_d$, Eq. 1 can be written as

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 Q_x(z, J_s, J_d)^2 x = -A(z, J_s)\bar{x} - B(z, J_s) J_d \bar{x}. \quad (2)$$

The trapping frequency $\omega_0 Q_t$, *i.e.*, the frequency of the

J_d oscillations at CR, is particle dependent. The particle dynamics at CR for a coasting beam was calculated in Ref [8] by considering a fourth-order expansion of the space charge potential of Gaussian distributions. Instead, our approach does not assume any Hamiltonian model; it is solely based on numerical simulations of a bunch propagating through a lattice.

The SC mode enters in Eqs. 1 and 2 as $\bar{x}(t) = e^{-i\nu t}\bar{x}[z(t)]$, where ν is the mode tune. For the first mode, $\bar{x}[z] \approx \sin[\pi z/4\sigma_z]$ (see Ref [2]). Taking into account the synchrotron oscillations of $z(t)$, the Bessel function expansion of $\bar{x}(t)$ yields the mode-particle main resonant exchange tune at $\nu - Q_s$, where Q_s is the synchrotron tune. Resonant exchange at the satellite harmonic $2Q_s$ also occurs, but this effect is of lesser importance.

The oscillations of J_d contribute to the damping in two ways. First, the dependence of $Q_x(z, J_s, J_d)$ on J_d yields satellite features spaced by harmonics of Q_t in the incoherent spectrum. These satellites are resonant with the particle-mode coupling term $A\bar{x}$ when their tune is at the mode frequency, *i.e.*, when $\bar{Q}_x + kQ_t \approx \nu - Q_s$, where $\bar{Q}_x$ is the particle's main tune and k is the satellite harmonic number.

Second, the J_d oscillations modulate the particle-mode coupling term $BJ_d\bar{x}$, yielding a novel damping mechanism, which we will call parametric Landau damping. If in the conventional picture, the LD requires particles with an incoherent spectrum covering the mode frequency, *i.e.*, $\bar{Q}_x \approx \nu - Q_s$; the $BJ_d\bar{x}$ term implies mode-resonant particles when $\bar{Q}_x \approx \nu - Q_s - Q_t$. Because Q_t is particle dependent, $\bar{Q}_x$ of the particles participating to the parametric LD is particle dependent, too, and may spread over a large range.

The simulations are done by employing the particle tracking code Synergia [9]. The SC effects are implemented using the second order split-operator method [16]. At every step, the electric field is calculated by numerically solving the 3D Poisson equation with open boundary conditions as described in [17]. The bunch is initially excited in the horizontal plane with the first SC harmonic function. SC harmonics are the SC modes of Gaussian beams in the strong SC limit and were calculated analytically in Refs. [2, 3]. The excitation amplitude is small enough to ensure linear damping regime and not to affect the particles tune spectrum. The transverse displacement density, $X(z, \delta p/p, t)$ is calculated at every turn. The modes' shape, tune and damping are extracted from $X(z, \delta p/p, t)$ using the DMD technique. DMD [11–14] has been used successfully for mode analysis and model reduction in many fields such as fluid mechanics [18], neuroscience [19], and video streaming and pattern recognition [20]. Application of Synergia and DMD to beam dynamics is described in detail in [6].

A lattice made by 10 identical OFORODO (drift - focusing quad - drift - rf cavity - drift - defocusing quad - drift) cells is chosen. 10^8 macroparticles per bunch are used for the simulations. For the off-resonance case we take the bare betatron tune difference $Q_{0x} - Q_{0y} > \delta Q_{sc\ max}$ while at the CR $Q_{0x} = Q_{0y}$. $\delta Q_{sc\ max}$ is the SC tune shift at the center of the bunch. The chromaticity is zero. The beam distribution is longitudinally and transversely Gaussian with equal vertical and horizontal emittances. The SC parameter is defined as $q = \frac{\delta Q_{sc\ max}}{Q_s}$.



FIG. 1: Comparison between the off-resonance and the CR cases for the first SC mode: a) Landau damping rate (λ) vs. space charge parameter (q) at intermediate and strong SC showing the CR damping is larger. b) The mode tunes, ν, both off-resonance and at CR are nearly the same. c) The spatial overlap of the DMD extracted mode $X_1(z, u)$ with the SC harmonic function $Y_1(z)$. The off-resonance and CR mode shapes are nearly the same.

Results The properties of the first SC mode off-resonance and at CR are compared in Fig. 1. For intermediate and large SC, $q \gtrsim 4$, the damping at CR is larger, as shown in Fig. 1-a. In the strong SC regime, $10 \lesssim q \lesssim 20$, the damping at CR is larger by approximately a factor of 2. The relative enhancement increases slowly with q. However the precision of the simulation at large q is limited by the small value of the LD, which becomes of the order of the error bars. The mode tune measured relative to the bare betatron tune, $\nu - Q_{0x}$, is nearly the same for both cases, see Fig. 1-b. The difference between the mode spatial shape in the two cases is also insignificant, as illustrated in Fig. 1-c where the spatial overlap of the mode, $X_1(z, u)$, with the first SC harmonic $Y_1(z)$ (calculated in [2]),

$$< X_1 Y_1 >= \int X_1(z, u) Y_1(z) \rho(z, u) dz du, \qquad (3)$$

is plotted.

The off-resonance LD mechanism can be understood within the typical paradigm. The beam 2D tune footprint, $\rho(Q_x, Q_y)$, is plotted in Fig. 2-a. $\rho(Q_x, Q_y)$ is de-



FIG. 2: a) Bunch tune footprint at off-resonance, $q = 7.94$. The white dot corresponds to the bare betatron tunes. b) $\sum_i \Delta J_x = \sum_i (J_{xi} - J_{xi\ initial})$ and $\sum_i \Delta J_{yi} = \sum_i (J_{yi} - J_{yi\ initial})$ of the 0.05% and 0.2% largest increasing energy particles versus turn number, normalized by the product of emittance and the number of the particles in the sum. c) The same as b) but for the largest decreasing energy particles. d) Tune footprint for the 0.5% largest changing energy (increase and decrease) particles. The tunes are in the proximity of the coherent tune $Q_x = \nu - Q_s$. The color dimension scale in a) and d) differs by one order of magnitude.



FIG. 3: a) Bunch tune footprint at CR, $q = 7.94$. The white dot corresponds to the bare betatron tunes. b) $\sum_i \Delta J_{si} = \sum_i (J_{si} - J_{si\ initial})$ of the 0.05% and 0.2% largest increasing energy particles versus turn number, normalized by the product of emittance and the number of the particles in the sum. c) The same as b) but for the largest decreasing energy particles. d) Tune footprint for the 0.5% largest changing energy (increase and decrease) particles. Large part of the spectral weight is along the resonance line $2Q_x - 2Q_y = 0$, with the horizontal tune well below $\nu - Q_s$. The color dimension scale in a) and d) differs by one order of magnitude.

fined as

$$\rho(Q_x, Q_y) = \sum_i |\tilde{x}_i(Q_x)|^2 |\tilde{y}_i(Q_y)|^2, \qquad (4)$$

where $\tilde{x}_i(Q)$ ($\tilde{y}_i(Q)$) is the Fourier transform of the particle i horizontal (vertical) displacement $x_i(t)$ ($y_i(t)$). Since the LD is determined by the tune density and is insensitive to the particles' amplitude *per se*, we normalize the spectral weight of each particle to one. The SC force shifts the particles' tunes to lower values. The tune depression is maximal at the bunch center, while the particles in the distribution tails have a much smaller tune shift. The satellite lines separated by $2Q_s$ are a consequence of the modulation of the tune shift with the particle's longitudinal position. The particles directly responsible for the LD are the ones which resonantly exchange energy with the mode. To select the LD-responsible particles we look for those having the largest change in their energy during the simulation. In Fig. 2-b (-c) we plot the sum of $\Delta J_x = J_x - J_{x\ initial}$ and the sum of $\Delta J_y = J_y - J_{y\ initial}$ for the 0.05% and the 0.2% largest energy increase (decrease) particles. 0.05% and 0.2% are arbitrary chosen values for the purpose of illustrating the properties of the LD-responsible particles. In the linear LD theory, the energy of the LD-responsible particles increases linearly in a time interval $\delta t \approx 1/|\delta\omega|$, where $\delta\omega$ is the frequency difference between the particle and the mode. As seen from Fig. 2-b, the time where ΔJ_x is increasing linearly is larger when the number of the particles in the summation is smaller, since a larger number in the summation implies particles with larger $|\delta\omega|$. Note that the chosen particles increase or decrease their action only in the horizontal plane, *i.e.*, the plane where the mode is present. The 2D footprint of the 0.5% largest-energy-changing particles is shown in Fig. 2-d. As expected, since these particles are mode-resonant, their horizontal tune is in the vicinity of $\nu - Q_s$.

The spectral properties of the LD-responsible particles at the CR do not fit the typical LD paradigm. The beam 2D tune footprint in Fig. 3-a displays enhanced spectral weight along the coupling resonance line $2Q_x - 2Q_y = 0$, consequence of resonance trapping. $2Q_s$-spaced satellite lines can be observed. We use the same largest energy change criterion to select the LD-responsible particles. Unlike the off-resonance case, the horizontal and vertical actions exhibit non-monotonic change with turn number, since in the proximity of CR their magnitude oscillates between the planes. However, the transverse action sum J_s of the LD-responsible particles displays a mono-



FIG. 4: Coupling resonance, $q = 7.94$. a) Poincare plots, J_d versus $\Phi_x - \Phi_y$, for randomly selected particles belonging to the 0.5% largest changing energy particles. Most of these particles are trapped in the resonance islands. b) The horizontal tune density $\rho(Q_x)$ (black), the Q_t shifted tune density $h(Q_x)$ (blue) and the one-tune-per-particle tune density $\rho_1(Q_x)$ (green) with the corresponding Q_t shifted tune density $h_1(Q_x)$ for the 0.5% largest changing energy particles. $h(Q_x)$ and $h_1(Q_x)$ are strongly peaked at the resonant mode tune $Q_x = \nu - Q_s$, showing mode-particle resonance via the $BJ_d\bar{x}$ term.

tonic increase (decrease), as shown in Fig 3-b(-c). The interesting fact which points to a parametric damping mechanism is that the tune of most of these large energy changing particles is not in the vicinity of $\nu - Q_s$ as one would expect for LD-responsible particles. As shown in Fig 3-d, there is a large spectral weight on the CR line which extends well below $Q_x = \nu - Q_s$.

Most of the large changing energy particles are trapped in resonance islands, as can be seen in Fig. 4-a where the Poincare plots, J_d versus $\Phi_x - \Phi_y$, are shown. The LD is, therefore, strongly influenced by the J_d oscillations characterizing the CR trapped particles.

The Q_t satellites in the particles' tune spectra contribute to the spectral weight at the mode coherent tune by $\approx$ 20% $\sim$ 25%. To estimate the satellites' spectral weight we compare the horizontal tune density $\rho_x(Q) = \sum_i \rho_{xi}(Q)$ and the one-tune-per-particle density $\rho_{1x}(Q) = \sum_i \rho_{1xi}(Q)$. The sum here is restricted only to the number of the selected particles with the largest energy change. $\rho_{xi}(Q) = |\tilde{x}_i(Q)|^2$ and $\rho_{1xi}(Q) = \delta(Q - \bar{Q}_{xi})$. $\bar{Q}_{xi}$ is the tune of the largest spectral peak in the Fourier spectrum $|\tilde{x}_i(Q)|$. Unlike ρ_x, where all spectral features are present, ρ_{1x} assumes that every particle is characterized only by its main tune. The spectral weight difference between ρ_x and ρ_{1x} at $\nu - Q_s$ measures the satellites contribution to the $A\bar{x}$ damping mechanism. ρ_x and ρ_{1x} for the 0.5% largest changing energy particles are shown in Fig. 4-b. Besides the peak at $\nu - Q_s$, in both ρ_x and ρ_{1x} a broad spectral feature at smaller frequency, unfavorable to the $A\bar{x}$ damping mechanism, is observed.

The other contribution of the J_d oscillations to the damping is via the $BJ_d\bar{x}$ term. The resonance condition is $Q_x + Q_t \approx \nu - Q_s$. We define $h(Q)$ as the tune density obtained by shifting each particle's horizontal tune by Q_t, such

$$h(Q) = \sum_i h_i(Q) = \sum_i \int \rho_{J_d i}(Q')\rho_{xi}(Q - Q')dQ' \approx \sum_i \rho_{xi}(Q - Q_{ti}). \quad (5)$$

$\rho_{Jdi}(Q) = |\tilde{J}_{di}(Q)|^2$ is the particle's i J_d Fourier spectrum. $h_1(Q)$ is defined by replacing ρ_{xi} with ρ_{1xi} in Eq. 5. As shown in Fig. 4-b, both $h(Q)$ and $h_1(Q)$ are strongly peaked at the coherent frequency $\nu - Q_s$ and do not display the broad spectral feature seen in $\rho_x(Q)$ and $\rho_{1x}(Q)$ below $\nu - Q_s$. In fact the particles with the tune forming the broad spectral feature of $\rho_{1x}(Q)$ have the main tune $\bar{Q}_x \approx \nu - Q_s - Q_t$, *i.e.*, the tune required for resonance with the $BJ_d\bar{x}$ coupling term.

Compared to the off-resonance case, at CR the mode-particle coupling term $BJ_d\bar{x}$ allows a larger number of particles to participate to the damping process. The conventional coupling does not favor particles with small longitudinal amplitudes, since they have a large tune shift which excludes them from the resonant exchange process with the mode. However, this impediment is not so restrictive for the resonant exchange via the $BJ_d\bar{x}$ term, since the trapping frequency Q_t is proportional to the charge density, thus also being large for small longitudinal amplitude particles and partially compensating for the large tune shift.

Conclusions Using Synergia with the DMD method the properties of the first SC mode are calculated for a Gaussian bunch propagating through an OFORODO lattice. The off-resonance and the CR cases are compared. While the SC mode's tune and shape are nearly the same, the LD is approximately a factor of 2 larger at CR in the strong SC regime. In the off-resonance case the damping mechanism can be understood within the conventional paradigm. The damping is caused by the resonant energy exchange between the mode and the particles with an incoherent tune spectra equal to the mode's tune shifted by Q_s. At CR a large number of particles are trapped around the stable points. Their transverse actions are oscillating with a particle dependent trapping frequency Q_t. The spectral properties of the trapped particles with large energy exchange reveal that their tune is additionally shifted from the mode's coherent tune by Q_t. This supports a parametric LD mechanism in which the mode-particle coupling is modulated by the oscillation of the particles' amplitudes.

Acknowledgments This work was performed at Fermilab, operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under

Contract No. DE-AC02- 07CH11359 with the United States Department of Energy. Synergia development is partially supported through the ComPASS project, funded through the Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing program in the DOE Office of High Energy Physics. An award of computer time was provided by the Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (INCITE) program. This research used resources of the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.

[1] L. Landau, "Oscillations of Electron Plasma", J. Phys. USSR, 10, 25 (1946).
[2] A. Burov, PRST-AB **12**, 044202 (2009), PRST-AB **12**, 109901, (2009).
[3] V. Balbekov, PRST-AB **12**, 124402 (2009).
[4] V. Kornilov and O. Boine Frankenheim, PRST-AB **13**, 114201, (2010).
[5] V. Kornilov, *et al.*, "Thresholds of the head-tail instability in bunches with SC", Proc. of HB2014 ICFA Workshop, East-Lansing, MI, USA (2014).
[6] A. Macridin, A. Burov, E. Stern, J. Amundson, and P. Spentzouris, PRST-AB **18**, 074401 (2015).
[7] Elias Metral, PhD Thesis, CERN-THESIS, 1999-074.
[8] B. W. Montague, CERN-Report No. 68-38, CERN, 1968.
[9] Synergia2, Accelerator Modeling, `https://cdcvs.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/synergia2/wiki`.
[10] J. Amundson, P. Spentzouris, J. Qiang, R. Ryne, J. Comp. Phys. **211**, 229 (2006).
[11] P.J. Schmid, J. Fluid Mech, **656**, 5, (2010).
[12] C. W. Rowley, *et al.*, J. Fluid Mech, **641**, 115, (2009).
[13] J. H. Tu, *et al.*, Journal of Computational Dynamics, **1**, 391, (2014).
[14] Kevin K. Chen, *et al.*, Journal of Nonlinear Science **22** Issue 6, 887, (2012).
[15] D. Mohl and H. Schonauer, Proc. IX Int. Conf. on High Energy Accelerators, Stanford, p. 380, (1974).
[16] H. Yoshida, Phys. Lett. A **150**, 262 (1990).
[17] R.W. Hockney and J. W. Eastwood, "Computer Simulation Using Particles", McGraw-Hill (1981).
[18] See Ref [13] and the references therein.
[19] Bingni W. Brunton, *et al.*, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, Volume 258, p. 1 (2016).
[20] Jacob Grosek, *et al.*, arXiv:1404.7592, (2014).